

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,143	09/25/2001	James Hugh McLaughlin	077698-0012	1702
20277 MCDERMOT	7590 08/12/2000 T WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	REET, N.W.	WANG, SHENGJUN		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/964,143	MCLAUGHLIN, JAMES HUGH		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shengjun Wang	1617		

	Onengjan wang	1017						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been field is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>			cause					
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ul>		E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
	/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1617						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. The declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 have been fully considered, but are found unprobative. Particularly, there is no prima facie case of a nexus between the commercial success and the claimed invnetion. Applicants assert a commercial success residing in claimed invnetion. Note, an appliciant who is asserting commercial success to support its contention of nonobviousness bears through of proof of establishing a nexus between the claimed invention and evidence of commercial success. The Federal Circuit has acknowledged that applican. See, MPEP 716.03.

1.